

Journal of Biomechanics 35 (2002) 739-746

JOURNAL OF BIOMECHANICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jbiomech www.JBiomech.com

# Synergic analysis of upper limb target-reaching movements

Nianfeng Yang<sup>a,b</sup>, Ming Zhang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Changhua Huang<sup>b</sup>, Dewen Jin<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Jockey Club Rehabilitation Engineering Centre, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China <sup>b</sup> Rehabilitation Engineering Research Centre, Department of Precision Instruments, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

Accepted 5 February 2002

#### Abstract

The topological invariance and synergies of human movements are discussed through the analysis and comparison of upper-limb target-reaching tasks. Five subjects were asked to perform different target-reaching tasks with different indices of difficulty, and the movements were captured using a Vicon 3D motion analysis system.

Topological invariance was observed in the trajectories of different task performances. After normalization, the trajectories of the arm tips had very close patterns for different target-reaching tasks. Synergy in the target-reaching movements of the upper limbs was also found among the different joint angles. The joint angles can be fitted using the same format of functions proposed in this study. The parameters in the function can be taken as a characteristic feature of target-reaching movement patterns. A target-reaching movement can be determined by these parameters and the start and end positions. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Synergy; Target-reaching movement; Upper limb; Motion pattern

### 1. Introduction

Researchers and clinicians have been interested in understanding the kinematics and kinetics of the upperlimb system for many years (Anglin and Wyss, 2000; Buckley et al., 1996). Understanding of the activities of daily living is required for the design of good orthoses or prostheses and provides input to biomechanical models. Due to the variability and complexity of the tasks, the nature of free arm movements is different from the human gait, which is restricted, repeatable or cyclic (Rau et al., 2000). There are no standard activities for the arm. If arm motion analyses become routinely used for diagnosis or rehabilitation evaluation, a set of discriminating (i.e. normal versus pathological) tasks or a set of desired functional tasks should be established.

The variability and flexibility of upper-limb movements reflect the mechanical redundancy of the musculoskeletal system. Typically, the human arm, involving three major joints: shoulder, elbow and wrist, contains seven degrees of freedom. Most natural activities, such as reaching, walking, writing, etc., require coordination among muscles and joints.

By coordination among the movement motors, humans can control the great complex redundancy system perfectly. How the nervous system determines in what way to perform a given motor task in order to achieve the desired behavioral goals has long aroused the curiosity of researchers. This problem posed in motor redundancy was first recognized systematically by Bernstein (1967), who defined redundancy as being when more than one motor signal can lead to the same trajectory of a given motor system. Identical motor signals can lead to different movements under nonidentical initial conditions or in the presence of variations in the external force field. Bernstein also defined motor coordination as the process of mastering redundant degrees of freedom of the moving organ, in other words its conversion to a controllable system (Bernstein, 1967). Determining how this conversion process takes place is known as Bernstein's problem.

Bernstein proposed that the motor apparatus was functionally organized into synergies or classes of movement patterns. Synergies are classes of movement patterns involving collections of muscle or joint variables that act as basic units in the regulation and control of movement. Synergies are used by the nervous system to reduce the number of both controlled parameters and afferent signals needed to generate and guide an ongoing movement. Certain synergies are often

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +852-2766-4939; fax: +852-2362-4365.

E-mail address: rcmzhang@polyu.edu.hk (M. Zhang).



Fig. 9. Curve fitting for angles.

Table 3The parameters for the curves fitting

Angles	AZ	EF	EL	FR	RL
A	0.3000	0.1000	0.7500	0.0100	1.5000
$k_1$	1.0077	0.5270	0.6761	0.5030	0.795
$k_2$	1.5708	4.7124	-4.7124	4.7124	-4.7124
$b_1$	-0.0077	0.5280	0.6760	0.5027	0.7960
$b_2$	0	0	4.7124	0	4.7124

study has the same or even better error level of the fifth order polynomial fitting. Higher order polynomial or other complex techniques may get the better fitting

Table 4				
The variances	of the errors	of fitting the	angle curves	

Angles	AZ	EF	EL	FR	RL
Cov(a)	0.0010	0.0035	0.0030	0.0025	0.0026

results, but the number of the fitting parameters will increase, and the parameters in such techniques (such as high-order polynomial) do not have such regularity as parameters in Eq. (7) (scaling and translating parameters). It is difficult to use them to describe and define the synergies. The results in this paper can be widely used in many fields. The function description of arm movements can largely reduce the parameters required to simulate arm motion, and to control a powered upper-limb orthosis or robot arm.

#### 5. Conclusion

It can be concluded that topological invariance and synergies can be found in target-reaching movements of human upper limbs. The joint angles of the upper limb can be described in the same format of functions. The movements can be determined by the parameters, together with the start and end positions. The above results can be applied widely in biomechanical modeling, orthoses design, control theory and rehabilitation evaluation.

# Acknowledgements

The study was supported by RGC Direct Allocation (Grant Number A-PC16), The Hong Kong Polytechnic University.

## References

- Anglin, C., Wyss, U.P., 2000. Review of arm motion analyses. Proceedings of the Institute of Mechanical Engineers Part H 214, 541–555.
- Bernstein, N., 1967. The Coordination and Regulation of Movement. Pergamon Press, London.
- Buckley, M.A., Yardley, A., Johnson, G.R., Carus, D.A., 1996. Dynamics of the upper limb during performance of the tasks of everyday living—a review of the current knowledge base. Proceedings of the Institute of Mechanical Engineers Part H 210, 241–247.
- Clark, J.E., Phillips, S.J., 1993. A longitudinal study of intralimb coordination in the first year of independent walking: a dynamical systems analysis. Child Development 64, 1143–1157.

- Diedrich, F.J., Warren, W.H., 1995. Why change gaits? Dynamics of the walk–run transition. Journal of Experimental Psychology 21, 183–202.
- Flash, T., 1990. The organization of human arm trajectory control. In: Winters, J., Woo, S. (Eds.), Multiple Muscle Systems: Biomechanics and Movement Organization. Springer, London, pp. 283–301.
- Horak, F.B., Diener, H.C., Nashner, L.M., 1989. Influence of central set on human postural responses. Journal of Neurophysiology 62, 841–853.
- Kots, Y.M., Syrovegin, A.V., 1966. Fixed set of variants of interaction of the muscles of two joints used in the execution of simple voluntary movements. Biophysics 11, 1212–1219.
- Laquaniti, F., Soechting, J.F., Terzuolo, S.A., 1986. Path constraints on point-to-point arm movements in three-dimensional space. Neuroscience 17, 313–324.
- Li, L., Elizabeth, C.H., van den Bogert, Graham, E.C., Richard van, E.A.E., Joseph, H., 1999. Coordination patterns of walking and running at similar speed and stride frequency. Human Movement Science 18 (1), 67–85.
- Mah, C.D., Hulliger, M., Lee, R.G., Callaghan, I.S., 1994. Quantitative analysis of human movement synergies: constructive pattern analysis for gait. Journal of Motor Behavior 26 (2), 83–102.
- Nashner, L.M., McCollum, G., 1985. The organization of human postural movements: a formal basis and experimental synthesis. Behavioral and Brain Sciences 8, 135–172.
- Rau, G., Disselhorst-Klug, C., Schmidt, R., 2000. Movement biomechanics goes upwards: from the leg to the arm. Journal of Biomechanics 33, 1207–1216.
- Romilly, D.P., Anglin, C., Gosine, R.G., Hershler, C., Raschke, S.U., 1994. A functional task analysis and motion simulation for the development of a powered upper-limb orthosis. IEEE Transactions of Rehabilitation Engineering 2 (3), 119–129.
- Schmidt, R., Disselhorst-Klug, C., Silny, J., Rau, G., 1999. A markerbased measurement procedure for unconstrained wrist and elbow motions. Journal of Biomechanicals 32, 615–621.
- Soechting, J.F., Laquaniti, F., 1981. Invariant characteristics of a pointing movement in man. Jouranl of Neuroscience 1, 710–720.
- Sporns, O., Edelman, G.M., 1993. Solving Bernstein's problem: a proposal for the development of coordinated movement by selection. Child Development 64, 960–981.
- Winter, D.A., 1989. Biomechanics of normal and pathological gait: implications for understanding human motor control. Journal of Motor Behavior 21, 337–356.
- Yang, J.F., Winter, D.A., Wells, R.P., 1990a. Postural dynamics in the standing human. Biological Cybernetics 62, 309–320.
- Yang, J.F., Winter, D.A., Wells, R.P., 1990b. Postural dynamics of walking in humans. Biological Cybernetics 62, 321–330.